UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,118	05/31/2006	Wing Hang Ho	632576258PCTUS	5003
23432 COOPER & DU	7590 12/23/200 J NHAM, LLP	EXAMINER		
30 Rockefeller		JACKSON, DANIELLE		
20th Floor NEW YORK, N	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/578,118	HO, WING HANG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DANIELLE JACKSON	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Oct</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-26 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 6-10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the contraction.	r election requirement. r. □ accepted or b)⊠ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/30/06, 12/18/06, 5/17/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 11-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 10/3/08.

Applicant's election with traverse of species I in the reply filed on 10/3/08 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would not be burdensome to examine the entire set of claims together. This is not found persuasive because the examiner maintains that the species are distinct as each has a different structure of the arm assembly and canopy, and as such each species would require a separate search that would not be require for the other.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: spring 86. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top

margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 8, "second member" should be changed to --third member-- so as to be consistent with the specification and drawings. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over d'Heureuse (DE-673,651) in view of Gustavson (US-1,766,901).

D'Heureuse discloses an umbrella having a canopy (not shown); a canopy mechanism adapted to expand and collapse said canopy; a base (13, 14); and an arm assembly (as seen in FIGS. 1 and 2) disposed between said base and said canopy mechanism, distal end pivotally connected to said canopy mechanism, said arm being operable, by pivoting said proximal end, between a retracted configuration (FIG. 2) and

Application/Control Number: 10/578,118

Art Unit: 3636

a protracted configuration (FIG. 1), said arm assembly protracting when said proximal end pivots in a first direction and retracting when said proximal end pivots in a second direction, said arm assembly including a plurality of operatively associated elongated members, said plurality of elongated members including at least one pair of said members pivotally connected at in intermediate portion (5) of each other, said paired members pivoting in opposite directions to one another during operation. D'Heureuse lacks the arm assembly moving in a pivotal direction relative to said base. Gustavson teaches an arm assembly comprised of a plurality of operatively associated elongated members, said plurality of elongated members including at least one pair of said members (22) pivotally connected at in intermediate portion, wherein the arm assembly extends between a retracted position (FIG. 1) and a protracted position (FIG. 3) by moving in a pivotal direction away from a base (10) that forms an arcuate path. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify d'Heureuse to include a pivoting arm assembly that moves in an arcuate direction, as suggested by Gustavson, so that it could be pivoted in an upward direction to accommodate taller people. Furthermore, d'Heureuse teaches the canopy mechanism to comprise a shaft (9), a slide (1) and a plurality of foldable ribs (3) operatively associated with said canopy and said slide, wherein said canopy mechanism is adapted to expand and collapse said canopy by coaxial movement of said slide.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 6-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIELLE JACKSON whose telephone number is (571)272-2268. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,118 Page 6

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. J./ Examiner, Art Unit 3636

/DAVID DUNN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3636